

Comment on “Spectroscopy with Random and Displaced Random Ensemble”

Y. M. Zhao¹ and A. Arima²

¹ *Department of Physics, Saitama University, Saitama-shi, Saitama 338 Japan*

² *The House of Councilors, 2-1-1 Nagatacho, Chiyodaku, Tokyo 100-8962, Japan*

PACS number: 05.30.Fk, 05.45.-a, 21.60.Cs, 24.60.Lz

arXiv:nucl-th/0202056v1 19 Feb 2002

Typeset using REVTeX

C. W. Johnson and his collaborators recently found that the dominance of 0+ ground state (0 g.s.) dominance of even fermion systems can be obtained by using a two-body random ensemble (TBRE). This discovery brought the physics of many-body systems interacting via random interactions into a sharp focus. One of the most interesting and important issues is the origin of the 0 g.s. dominance.

The purpose of this Comment is to point out that the time reversal invariance, which was used in Ref. [2] to explain the 0 g.s. dominance, cannot be an explanation, and that the results by using a displaced TBRE are much more complicated than those shown in [2].

The key point of [2] to explain the 0 g.s. dominance is the behaviors of centroid E_{cI} , defined as $d_I^{-1} \text{tr}(H)_I$, and the variance σ_I^2 defined as $d_I^{-1} \langle (H - E_{cI})^2 \rangle_I$. Here I is the total angular momentum. The E_{cI} was noticed in Ref.[2] to be small. Therefore, the σ_I^2 was assumed to play a crucial role. This idea was actually found to be not applicable to fermions in a single- j shell in [3]. An argument, which is essentially a combination of behavior of σ_I and a statistical behavior of two-body coefficients of fractional parentage, was proposed to explain both the properties of E_{cI} and the 0 g.s. dominance [4] of even fermion systems in a single- j shell. A simple approach to study this problem was given recently in [5].

It is stressed here that the correlation between states is essential to explain the 0 g.s. dominance, or more generally, to explain a sizable probability (denoted as $P(I)$) of a certain I g.s. by using a TBRE. Here correlation is an antonym of independence, it refers to, e.g., for fermions in a single- j shell, the state with $I_{max} - 2$ is very likely the first excited state when the $I = I_{max}$ state is the g.s.. It is incorrect to consider only the statistical behavior of energy levels. Taking σ_I of 5-fermion system in a single- j shell as an example, σ_I with $I = I_{min} = \frac{1}{2}$ is very large. However, the $P(\frac{1}{2})$ is always close to zero. One can also find many such examples in even fermion systems. The σ_I of the $I = I_{max}$ state of fermions in a single- j shell is always 0, but the $P(I_{max})$ is always sizable for fermions in a small j shell.

Next, the authors of Ref. [2] showed a few interesting examples by using a displaced TBRE. We emphasize here, however, that the results by using a displaced TBRE are actually very complicated. A negative displacement of the TBRE may favor 0 g.s., as showed in Ref. [2]. However, this is not always correct. In fact, **both** negative displacements and positive displacements may favor the 0 g.s. probability (e.g., $P(0) \sim 100\%$ for 4 fermions in a two- j ($j_1 = 13/2, j_2 = 9/2$) shell by using a TBRE ± 5) or quench down the 0 g.s. probability (e.g., $P(0) \sim 0\%$ for 4 fermions in a two- j ($j_1 = 11/2, j_2 = 3/2$) shell by using a TBRE ± 5), or may play a minor role by a very slight change in $P(I)$'s (e.g., 4 fermions in a two- j ($j_1 = 9/2, j_2 = 5/2$) shell by using a TBRE ± 5).

Our conclusion is that the time reversal invariance, which was further interpreted in Ref. [2] by the behaviors of E_{cI} and σ_I^2 , can not be the origin of the 0 g.s. dominance, as was pointed out in [6], and that the discussion by using a displaced TBRE in [2] is not true in general.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. W. Johnson, G. F. Bertsch, D. J. Dean, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2749(1998).
- [2] V. Velazquez, and A. P. Zuker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 072502(2002).
- [3] Y.M. Zhao, and A. Arima, Phys. Rev. **C64**, (R)041301(2001).
- [4] A. Arima, N. Yoshinaga, and Y.M. Zhao, *International Symposium on Nuclear Structure Physics* 2001, World scientific (2001), P25, Edited by Rick Casten et al.; Eur. J. Phys. A, in press; N. Yoshinaga, A. Arima, and Y.M. Zhao, J. Phys. **G**, to appear.
- [5] Y.M. Zhao, A. Arima, and N. Yoshinaga, nucl-th/0112075; to be published.
- [6] R. Bijker, A. Frank, and S. Pittel, Phys. Rev. **C60**, 021302(1999).